## PLAN BUDGET \& RESOUURCES REYIEW

CITY OF LOS ANGELES DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN December 7, 2016


## REVENUES



## Annual Total - \$2.8 million

## EXPENDITURES



## SURPLUS TARGET

- Reserve Fund surplus target is $50 \%$ of annual operating expenses (approximately $\$ 1.4$ million)
- Historical surplus has been maintained above that target
- Board established a "structural deficit" in relationship of revenues to expenses to gradually reduce surplus
- As of $06 / 30 / 16$, surplus was $\$ 2.72$ million


## Surplus

## Reserve Fund

 (Held w/Empower Retirement)
## RESERVE FUND KEY VARIABLES

## Current



| Expenses <br> Inflation <br> Adjustment <br> Factor | Enrollment <br> Adjustment <br> Factor | Asset Growth <br> Adjustment <br> Factor | Stable Value <br> Funds Interest <br> Assumption | Charged <br> Against <br> Participant <br> Accounts | Fee Cap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 5}$ |

Current

| Personnel Avg. <br> Special Rate | City Attorney <br> Avg. Special <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |

Plan Growth Rate and Special Rates are most volatile and impactful variables

## KEY ASSUMPTIONS Plan Asset Growth

Where Applied: Growth rate for Plan assets
Considerations: Recent growth higher than current assumption, but bond/equity bull markets aging

Current: \$5.1 billon


## KEY ASSUMPTIONS Plan Asset Growth

| Year | Assets |  | $\%$ Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1984 | $\$$ | $17,990,298$ |  |
| 1985 | $\$$ | $48,584,697$ | $170 \%$ |
| 1986 | $\$$ | $84,762,277$ | $43 \%$ |
| 1987 | $\$$ | $126,921,243$ | $33 \%$ |
| 1988 | $\$$ | $180,395,336$ | $30 \%$ |
| 1989 | $\$$ | $249,105,465$ | $28 \%$ |
| 1990 | $\$$ | $303,691,355$ | $18 \%$ |
| 1991 | $\$$ | $378,018,448$ | $20 \%$ |
| 1992 | $\$$ | $441,306,161$ | $14 \%$ |
| 1993 | $\$$ | $516,401,147$ | $15 \%$ |
| 1994 | $\$$ | $564,392,235$ | $9 \%$ |
| 1995 | $\$$ | $702,779,928$ | $20 \%$ |
| 1996 | $\$$ | $831,689,383$ | $15 \%$ |
| 1997 | $\$$ | $1,029,129,147$ | $19 \%$ |
| 1998 | $\$$ | $1,285,271,264$ | $20 \%$ |
| 1999 | $\$$ | $1,564,440,301$ | $18 \%$ |
| 2000 | $\$$ | $1,578,565,882$ | $1 \%$ |
| 2001 | $\$$ | $1,508,545,448$ | $-5 \%$ |
| 2002 | $\$$ | $1,373,444,396$ | $-10 \%$ |
| 2003 | $\$$ | $1,737,260,679$ | $21 \%$ |
| 2004 | $\$$ | $1,973,665,625$ | $12 \%$ |
| 2005 | $\$$ | $2,230,031,810$ | $11 \%$ |
| 2006 | $\$$ | $2,566,734,158$ | $13 \%$ |
| 2007 | $\$$ | $2,909,282,960$ | $12 \%$ |
| 2008 | $\$$ | $2,279,918,897$ | $-28 \%$ |
| 2009 | $\$$ | $2,828,435,629$ | $19 \%$ |
| 2010 | $\$$ | $3,154,860,910$ | $10 \%$ |
| 2011 | $\$$ | $3,174,274,111$ | $1 \%$ |
| 2012 | $\$$ | $3,578,684,906$ | $11 \%$ |
| 2013 | $\$$ | $4,277,754,120$ | $16 \%$ |
| 2014 | $\$$ | $4,622,493,622$ | $7 \%$ |
| 2015 | $\$$ | $4,726,682,745$ | $2 \%$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2016$ | $\$$ | $5,126,718,309$ | $8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |


| Average Growth Rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average (Inception to Present) |  | 19.2\% |
| Average (1986-1999)--> |  | 21.4\% |
| Average (1990-Present)--> |  | 10.9\% |
| Last 15 years average--> |  | 7.2\% |
| Last 10 years average--> |  | 6.0\% |
| Last 5 years average--> |  | 9.2\% |
| Projected Growth Rate Including ROR |  |  |
| Current Assets | \$ | 5,126,718,309 |
| Net Annual Contributions | \$ | 101,307,237 |
| Projected ROR (per Mercer) |  | 5.77\% |
| Earnings Growth | \$ | 295,811,646 |
| Projected Ending Balance | \$ | 5,534,509,493 |
| Projected Annual Growth Rate |  | 8.0\% |

## KEY ASSUMPTIONS Plan Asset Growth

## Current: 6.5\%

## Proposed: 6.5\%

| Potential Growth Rate Scenarios |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Last 15 years average--> | $\mathbf{7 . 2 \%}$ |
| Last 10 years average--> | $\mathbf{6 . 0 \%}$ |
| Last 5 years average--> | $\mathbf{9 . 2 \%}$ |
| Actuarial Potential Growth Rate --> | $\mathbf{8 . 4 \%}$ |

## KEY ASSUMPTIONS Enrollment Increase Factor

## Current: 1\%

## Proposed: 2\%

Where Applied: Estimated growth in participant accounts
Considerations: After flattening after recession, net growth is improving Revision required if Auto Enrollment widely adopted

| Year Ending | Participants | Percent Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 | 26,319 |  |
| 2000 | 28,382 | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| 2001 | 30,315 | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |
| 2002 | 32,109 | $\mathbf{6 \%}$ |
| 2003 | 33,528 | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| 2004 | 34,528 | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
| 2005 | 35,182 | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |
| 2006 | 36,784 | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |
| 2007 | 38,733 | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |
| 2008 | 40,106 | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| 2009 | 40,702 | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| 2010 | 40,316 | $\mathbf{- 1 \%}$ |
| 2011 | 40,348 | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| 2012 | 40,325 | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| 2013 | 40,389 | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| 2014 | 40,906 | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| 2015 | 41,818 | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |
| 2016 (YTD) | $\mathbf{4 2 , 7 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |



## KEY ASSUMPTIONS <br> Annual Expense Adjustment Factor (Inflation)

## Current: 2\%

## Proposed: 2\%

Where Applied: Salary costs, Training costs, and Office/Admin costs
Considerations:

- $\quad$ Cost of living increases may be below this rate over near and mid-te
- $\quad$ Positions often filled at lower level than position authority
Training costs/admin costs generally lower than budgeted amount


## KEY ASSUMPTIONS Special Rates Increase Factor

## FY 15-16 Special \#38 Special Rates (Final):

- Personnel - 86.28\%
- City Attorney - 74.25\%

5-Year Average:

## - Personnel-88\%

- City Attorney - 80\%


## Proposed Forward Assumption:

- Personnel-100\%
- City Attorney - 100\%

As Applied:
Considerations:

Indirect salary costs

| Fiscal Year | Personnel | City Attorney |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY 07-08 | $\mathbf{7 8 . 3 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 9 1 \%}$ |
| FY 08-09 | $\mathbf{7 7 . 9 4 \%}$ | $57.96 \%$ |
| FY 09-10 | $56.43 \%$ | $58.03 \%$ |
| FY 10-11 | $\mathbf{5 8 . 5 6 \%}$ | $63.59 \%$ |
| FY 11-12 | $\mathbf{8 6 . 7 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 1 7 \%}$ |
| FY 12-13 | $\mathbf{8 5 . 2 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 1 9 \%}$ |
| FY 13-14 | $\mathbf{8 9 . 3 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 . 8 3 \%}$ |
| FY 14-15 | $\mathbf{9 1 . 5 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 0 9 \%}$ |
| FY 15-16 | $\mathbf{8 6 . 2 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 2 5 \%}$ |
| All Avg | $78.92 \%$ | $70.45 \%$ |
| 5-Yr Avg | $88 \%$ | $80 \%$ |

## KEY ASSUMPTIONS Stable Value Interest Rate Assumption <br> Current: 2\% <br> Proposed: 2.0\%

Where Applied: Interest earnings on Reserve Fund assets
Considerations: Mercer/Galliard 5-year forecast is 1.40\%/2.31\%

Note: Mercer's 5 -year forecast pertains to a generic asset class return applicable to all stable value products. Galliard's perspective, however, is unique to the Plan. The 91 basis point ( $0.91 \%$ ) disconnect in the interest rate assumption is partly due to timing difference of the calculations as rates have risen rapidly very recently. Per Mercer, Galliard's calculation is more current.

Annual Return


## KEY ASSUMPTIONS Participant Fees

## Current: <br> Basis Points: 0.10\%/Fee Cap: \$125 Proposed: No change

Where Applied: Fees assessed against participant accounts, up to fee cap
Considerations:
Fees should only be increased or decreased if clear basis for doing so

- Two largest variables (Plan asset growth and Personnel CAP rate) present potentials for negative/positive surprises
- New resource considerations could easily absorb surpluses


## SURPLUS TARGET 10-Year Forecast w/Proposed Revised Assumptions

| Expenses <br> Inflation <br> Adjustment <br> Factor | Enrollment <br> Adjustment <br> Factor | Asset Growth <br> Adjustment <br> Factor | Stable Value <br> Funds Interest <br> Assumption | Basis Points <br> Charged <br> Against <br> Participant <br> Accounts | Fee Cap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 5}$ |


| Personnel Avg. <br> Special Rate | City Attorney <br> Avg. Special Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

